

(start belt #4)

Senator Goodrich admitted relative to Lincoln that he didn't know what he was talking about because he thinks that he heard somebody in a conversation that he was not a part of make a statement. In the other area of the auto bonds, those bonds are authorized by in the constitution then put into the the state statutes with broad protection in the minds of the legislature at that time. It only has to do with the strict interpretation of industry. I don't think that any circumstance that this could be put in that category but if it could the autobonds are sold just like a revenue bond is and the only security that they have is the facilities in which they authorize to be constructed for the leasing to private interprises. In every case it provides that they pay taxes. On a which puts them basically in my judgment on the same basis of taxation as if they own the building themselves. Now that is the difference. Now let me read you from the letter of the City of Omaha, the Mayor. If the Mayor of Omaha who is the leader for this building has no objection to this motion let me ask you why would Senator Goodrich oppose it? Now this is what the Mayor says "On the 20th day of March 1973 Senator Carpenter introduced to you a resolution calling for an investigation of this project. He requested that such an investigation be conducted by the Governor's office and I welcome this office and believe that it will substantiate our position." Now all we are talking about is that if these people do this illegally, if they do it illegally, then the Attorney General shall step in and protect the interest of Omaha, who have to make up if that is the situation what these people don't pay. I only think that Senator Goodrich protests too much. I don't know what his reason is and I don't care what his reason is, but why should anybody want to seporate this motion. Just keep your seats. The attorney general is a representative of this body and the sole representative of the body in a legal sense. He has the sole responsibility and he is a creature in which he follows whatever we ask him to do. All we are asking him to do is uphold the law. What could be wrong with this motion? Why do you want to pick it to pieces by seperating and in order to dilute it to a point that it won't need anything. Now let me say to Senator Skarda, he comes from an area in Omaha which is low income. He is the last person in the world that ought to be objecting to this motion because what these people don't pay, his people are going to pay and he can put it on their backs if he wants to or he can help them. This not only applies to the city of Omaha, but it also applies to every other subdivision of government and these people are smart. That is the way they got to where they are at. They know all of the legal loup holes that may or may not exist. Where they don't exist they try to put them in and most of the time they are successful. Now as far as I am concerned I don't care whether you pass the motion or not. I went to another agency of state government, and in areas of authority that I couldn't get anybody interested to the point of doing something about it. Obviously I didn't go to Senator Goodrich because he wouldn't be interested in something like this anyway. So I just call your attention to these. The Mayor of Omaha, the man that is the head of this thing says, I have no objection to this motion. He called me on the phone after Senator Goodrich called him. He said that I am in favor of it. Now the only one who wants to object to it is not the Mayor, not the council who has the responsibility only Senator Goodrich, and I ask him why?